Electric-field gradients at the nuclei from all-electron, four-component relativistic density-functional theory using Gaussian-type orbitals

Marc Joosten,^{1,*} Michal Repisky,^{1,2,†} Marius Kadek,^{1,‡} Pekka Pyykkö,^{3,§} and Kenneth Ruud^{1,4,¶}

¹Hylleraas Center for Quantum Molecular Sciences, Department of Chemistry, University of Tromsø—The Arctic University of Norway, 9037 Tromsø, Norway

(Dated: July 5, 2024)

We present an all-electron, four-component relativistic implementation of electric field gradients (EFGs) at the nuclei using Gaussian-type orbitals and periodic boundary conditions. This allows us to include relativistic effects variationally, which is important for compounds containing heavy elements and for a property dependent the electronic structure close to the nuclei. The all-electron approach ensures an accurate treatment of both core and valence orbitals, as both are important in the evaluation of EFGs. Computational efficiency is achieved through the use of a recent implementation of density fitting in combination with quaternion algebra and restricted kinetic balance. We use the relativistic approach to calculate the EFGs in different arsenic, antimony and bismuth halides and oxyhalides, and explore the importance of relativistic effects on EFGs in solids and compare these with results obtained for molecular species. Our calculations contribute to establishing a reliable estimate for the nuclear quadrupole moment of 209 Bi, for which our best estimate is -428(17) mb, in excellent agreement both with molecular data and a recent reevaluation of the nuclear quadrupole moment obtained from atomic data and ab initio calculations. Our results suggest that there is a need to revisit the experimental data for the EFGs of several bismuth oxyhalides.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear electric quadrupole moments (NQMs) are important parameters providing fundamental information about nuclear structure, in particular whether the nuclear structure is spherically symmetric or not. A prerequisite for the existence of a non-vanishing NQM is a nuclear spin larger than 1/2. The nuclear quadrupole moments interact with the electric field gradients (EFGs) at the nuclei, leading to a splitting of atomic or molecular energy levels that can be observed for instance in nuclear quadrupole resonance spectroscopy [1] or rotational spectroscopy [2, 3] and correspond to excitation energies in the microwave region. Through measurements of the coupling of NQMs and EFGs, one can also obtain information about the electron density close to the nuclei, provided that accurate values of the NQMs are available. Much effort has therefore been devoted to determine NQMs [4].

One way of determining NQMs is to combine accurate *ab initio* calculations of EFGs with highly accurate transition energy data obtained from different experiments, and derive the NQMs using the relation between the EFGs and transition energies. A number of NQMs have been determined with very high accuracy using this hybrid experimental/computational approach. For further information on various methods of determining NQMs, their expected accuracy, and the most up-to-date list of NQMs, we recommend the review by Pyykkö [4].

EFGs probe the electron density close to the nuclei, making relativistic effects crucial, particularly for heavier nuclei [5]. The calculation of EFGs is relatively straightforward, since the EFGs are first-order molecular properties. They can be evaluated either as expectation values for variational wave functions or by employing Z-matrix or Lagrangian techniques for highly correlated, non-variational wave functions [6, 7], such as coupled-cluster or configuration interaction methods. The incorporation of relativistic effects is also fairly straightforward, even at the fully relativistic level of theory using highly correlated wave functions [8, 9]. However, when relativistic effects are approximated using a

²Department of Physical and Theoretical Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Ilkovicova 6, SK-84215 Bratislava, Slovakia

³Department of Chemistry, P.O. Box 55, FIN-00014, University of Helsinki, Finland

 $^{^4}$ Norwegian Defence Research Establishment, Instituttveien 20, 2027 Kjeller, Norway

^{*} marc.joosten@uit.no

[†] michal.repisky@uit.no

[†] marius.kadek@uit.no

[§] pekka.pyykko@helsinki.fi

[¶] kenneth.ruud@uit.no

transformed Hamiltonian, significant picture-change effects must be accounted for [10]. We also note that special care must be taken if a finite-field approach is applied as an alternative way of calculating EFGs. [11]

Compounds containing heavy elements often exist as solids. In the field of computational materials modeling, a plane-wave description of the valence electrons is often combined with the pseudopotential technique where the oscillatory core electronic orbitals are effectively substituted with pseudo-wave-functions that mimic the effect of the core electrons on the valence electrons without the intricate oscillatory pattern. The use of pseudopotentials allows relativistic effects on the core electrons to easily be included, but the approximate description of the core electron density makes them unsuited for evaluating properties such as the EFGs. The projector augmented wave method allows for calculations of EFGs at the nuclei [12, 13], but reaching accurate results can require that the EFGs are corrected using molecular calculations at a more advanced theory level (e.g. with a hybrid exchange-correlation functional) [14]. Alternative relativistic approaches include the use of four-component numerical [15–17] or two-component Slater-type atomic orbitals [5, 18] or techniques that combine plane waves with auxiliary functions for explicit treatment of core electrons, while potentially also including relativistic effects [4, 12, 19]. Nevertheless, such hybrid approaches are inherently implementationally complex and computationally intensive, necessitating meticulous convergence settings and a profound understanding of the methods to ensure the accuracy of the calculated results in complex systems.

We have adopted an alternative, efficient all-electron approach that incorporates relativistic effects variationally at the four-component level of theory and which utilizes Gaussian-type basis sets with periodic boundary conditions, enabling systematic improvements in the results as the basis set is expanded. Computational efficiency is ensured by the use of quaternion algebra and restricted kinetic balance [20, 21], as well as the resolution-of-the-identity approximation [22, 23] for the Coulomb integrals in combination with multipole expansion of the far-field contributions [24]. Our previous work has shown that our method reliably achieves accurate band gaps already with double-zeta quality basis sets, and that smooth convergence of the density is assured without issues even when diffuse functions are incorporated into the basis set [21]. Indeed, we have established that retaining diffuse functions is essential for rapid basis set convergence; conversely, the more commonly employed "reduced" basis sets (where the diffuse functions are removed) [25–27] yield inferior results and slower basis set convergence.

We note here that the use of Gaussian-type orbitals (GTOs) for computational studies of periodic solids has an extensive history at the non-relativistic level of theory, pioneered by the work of Pisani and Dovesi [28, 29], followed by several more recent implementations [30–35]. Some of these GTO-based codes also reported calculations of EFGs for solid-state systems [36–40], albeit without the full consideration of relativistic effects.

Here, we extend our relativistic all-electron approach based on GTOs to the calculation of EFGs in solids and use the first-principles calculations in combination with experimental data to determine the NQM of As, Sb, and Bi from the EFGs in their halides and oxyhalides. Furthermore, we investigate the discrepancy between the atomic and molecular values of the NQM of ²⁰⁹Bi by using solid-state Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance (NQR) data.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: In Section II, we provide the basic theory for the calculation of EFGs in solids at the four-component level of theory using Gaussian-type orbitals. In Section III, we give the computational details for the calculations presented in this work. In Section IV, we explore the importance of relativistic effects and choice of basis sets on the EFGs in a series of pnicogen halides and oxyhalides, with a particular focus on bismuth-containing systems. We obtain an estimate of the NQM of ²⁰⁹Bi and compare it with atomic and molecular data. Finally, in Section V, we give some concluding remarks and an outlook.

II. THEORY

NQR utilizes the interaction of the nuclear charge density with the surrounding electric field. The quadrupole interaction is the leading contribution, *i.e.* the interaction between the nuclear quadrupole moment and the electric field gradient tensor. A detailed discussion can be found in Ref. [41]. This leads to the NQR Hamiltonian in the eigensystem of the EFG, given by

$$H_{NQR} = \frac{Q}{2I(2I-1)} \left(V^{xx} I^x + V^{yy} I^y + V^{zz} I^z \right) = \frac{qQ}{4I(2I-1)} \left(3I^z - I^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \left(I_+^2 + I_-^2 \right) \right). \tag{II.1}$$

We have here introduced the eigenvalues of the electric field gradient components defined according to $|V^{zz}| > |V^{yy}| > |V^{xx}|$, the asymmetry parameter $\eta = (V^{xx} - V^{yy})/V^{zz}$ and the nuclear spin I. Q denotes the nuclear quadrupole moment (NQM) and q is the largest eigenvalue V^{zz} and is often referred to as just the EFG. Diagonalization then leads to different spin energy levels, with the magnitude depending on q and Q, while the general structure depends

on η and the total spin of the nucleus I. In the following, only the traceless part of the EFG is calculated; the traced part leads to a constant shift in the spectra [42] that is not considered in this work.

The EFG tensor V^{ij} is defined as the second derivative of the electric potential at the position of the reference nucleus K (using the notation $\partial^i \equiv \partial/\partial r^i$):

$$V_K^{ij} = \partial^i \partial^j \int d\mathbf{r}' \rho(\mathbf{r}') \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}|} \bigg|_{\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_K} = \int d\mathbf{r}' \rho(\mathbf{r}') v^{ij} \left(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_K\right), \tag{II.2}$$

with

$$v^{ij}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3r^i r^j - \delta^{ij} r^2}{r^5},\tag{II.3}$$

and the total charge density of the infinite periodic system

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \rho_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \rho_{\mathbf{0}} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}), \qquad (II.4)$$

where \mathbf{m} labels the unit cell centered at $\mathbf{r_m}$. In applying the derivative in Eq. (II.2), the Poisson term is neglected. This has no effect on the traceless part of V [42]. Equation (II.2) can be evaluated as stated by keeping the nucleus K fixed and summing over the charge densities of every unit cell. Alternatively, the summation can be shifted to the nucleus by using translation symmetry

$$V_K^{ij} = \int d\mathbf{r}' \rho_0(\mathbf{r}') \sum_{\mathbf{m}} v^{ij} \left(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_K + \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} \right) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \int d\mathbf{r}' \rho_0(\mathbf{r}') v_{\mathbf{m},K}^{ij} \left(\mathbf{r}' \right). \tag{II.5}$$

The EFG consists of contributions from the electronic charge density and from all other non-reference nuclei. Expressing the electron density using the one-electron reduced density matrix D and Gaussian-type basis functions $\chi_{\mu \mathbf{n}}$ centered on each unit cell \mathbf{n} , the electronic part of the EFG tensor is obtained as

$$V_{e,K}^{ij} = -\sum_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\nu \nu \mathbf{n}} \int d\mathbf{r} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\chi_{\mu \mathbf{0}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) v_{\mathbf{m},K}^{ij} \left(\mathbf{r} \right) \chi_{\nu \mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{r}) D^{\nu \mathbf{n},\mu \mathbf{0}} \right] = -\sum_{\mathbf{m}\nu} \operatorname{Tr} \left[I_{\mathbf{m},K,u}^{ij} D^{\bar{u}} \right], \tag{II.6}$$

where $u \equiv (\mu \nu \mathbf{n})$, $\bar{u} \equiv (\nu \mathbf{n}\mu)$, and the EFG integrals are labeled as I. Note here that the basis functions $\chi_{\nu \mathbf{n}}$ are four-component Dirac bispinors, and the trace Tr needs to be introduced to sum over the four components, *i.e.* four diagonal matrix elements in the product of I and D. More details about the implementation of the calculation of the relativistic electronic structure of solids in the ReSpect program is found in Ref. [21]. Similarly, the nuclear part is given by

$$V_{n,K}^{ij} = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{A} Z_{A} \frac{3 \left(\mathbf{r}_{A} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m},K}\right)^{i} \left(\mathbf{r}_{A} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m},K}\right)^{j} - \left|\mathbf{r}_{A} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m},K}\right|^{2} \delta^{ij}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{A} - \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m},K}\right|^{5}}.$$
(II.7)

In the summations over all atoms A and unit cells m, the reference nucleus term A = K must be excluded for m = 0.

For sufficiently large values of \mathbf{m} , the charge density of the unit cell $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$ has no significant overlap with the reference nuclei in the cell \mathbf{m} [43]. Therefore the lattice sum in Eq. (II.5) can be split into near and far-field (NF and FF) parts. The NF is calculated directly using Eqs. (II.6) and (II.7). The FF terms can be expanded using a multipole expansion. This is based on

$$\partial^{i}\partial^{j}\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}_{K}-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}|} = \partial^{i}\partial^{j}\frac{1}{|(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}_{C})-(\mathbf{r}_{K}+\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}-\mathbf{r}_{C})|}$$

$$= \sum_{lm}\partial^{i}\partial^{j}R_{lm}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}_{C})I_{lm}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{K}+\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}-\mathbf{r}_{C}) = \sum_{lm}R_{lm}(\mathbf{r}'-\mathbf{r}_{C})\partial^{i}\partial^{j}I_{lm}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{K}+\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}-\mathbf{r}_{C}). \quad (II.8)$$

The center of the unit cell is chosen as the center of the multipole expansion \mathbf{r}_C and R and I refer to the scaled regular and irregular solid harmonics as defined in Ref. [44]. The derivative in (II.8) acting on \mathbf{r}' is equivalent to a derivative on $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}}$ up to a minus sign. This can be used to shift the derivatives between R and I. Recursive expressions for the derivatives are defined in Ref. [45], albeit using a different sign convention. The solid harmonics defined above are

complex functions. However, in practical calculations, it is more convenient to use real harmonics [44]. Thus, the FF contribution to the EFG becomes

$$V_{K,FF}^{ij} = \sum_{lm} 2 \frac{1}{2^{\delta_{m0}}} Q_{lm} \left(\mathbf{r}_C \right) \partial^i \partial^j \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in FF} I_{lm} \left(\mathbf{r}_K + \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{m}} - \mathbf{r}_C \right) = \sum_{lm} Q_{lm} \left(\mathbf{r}_C \right) I_{K,FF,lm}^{ij} \left(\mathbf{r}_C \right), \tag{II.9}$$

with the total multipole moments of the unit cell defined as

$$Q_{lm}(\mathbf{r}_C) = \int d\mathbf{r} \rho_0(\mathbf{r}) R_{lm}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_C). \tag{II.10}$$

Eq. (II.9) contains both electronic and nuclear contributions and is evaluated in a similar manner as the NF terms in Eqs. (II.6) and (II.7). The additional factor compensates for the switch to real harmonics and is absorbed into I. The multipole moments are independent both of the lattice vector \mathbf{m} and the specific nucleus K and only need to be calculated once for a given system. To evaluate the lattice sum, the renormalization scheme from Ref. [46] is used, with additional details provided in Ref. [21]. This scheme only needs to be employed once per system as it can be shifted to the position of a different nucleus K' using the translation properties of I. Hence, the computational cost of evaluating the FF terms is negligible, and the entire computational effort comes from the direct calculation of the NF contributions in Eq. (II.6).

The calculation of EFGs for molecules is significantly simpler. Eqs. (II.6) and (II.7) can then be used directly by removing the lattice indices and the summation over **m**. The NF-FF split is in this case not needed. Our implementation in ReSpect agrees for four-component molecular systems with DIRAC[47] and for one-component solids with CRYSTAL[48].

III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

We use the four-component Dirac–Coulomb Kohn–Sham level of theory together with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the nonrelativistic exchange–correlation functional (PBE) [49]. The fully uncontracted triple- ζ (TZ) Dyall basis sets are used unless otherwise noted [50, 51]. To accelerate the calculation of the Coulomb electrostatic interactions, we employed the resolution-of-the-identity approach for the Coulomb term (RI-J) [22–24]. A detailed discussion of the implementation and accuracy of the RI-J approximation used in this work will be provided elsewhere [24], but calculations on BiOCl lead to errors of the order of 10^{-3} V/m² in the EFG both at the non-relativistic and relativistic levels of theory, a relative error that is less than 0.01%.

The momentum space was sampled with a $9 \times 9 \times 9$ mesh of **k** points for the bismuth oxyhalides and a $5 \times 5 \times 5$ mesh for the other systems. The convergence with respect to the **k**-point mesh was assessed by comparing the EFG results obtained from calculations across a progressively increasing sequence of meshes.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to demonstrate the importance of relativistic effects for EFGs, we will have a particular focus on 209 Bi, as there until recently was a rather significant discrepancy between the NQMs established based on comparing experimental and computed data between molecular [52] and atomic studies [53]. This discrepancy was however recently resolved [54, 55], bringing the atomic NQMs into very good agreement with the molecular NQMs. We also note that nuclear calculations by Karayonchev *et al.* support the molecular NQM, with a NQM of -428 mbarn [56]. It would be of interest to see whether our solid-state implementation would confirm these predictions.

In order to better assess the accuracy of our calculated results, we have performed benchmark calculations on molecular BiCl₃ and solid BiOCl using Dyall's uncontracted double (DZ), triple (TZ) and quadrupole- ζ (QZ) basis sets. [50, 51]. We have also included truncated versions of these basis sets for the solid, in which we apply the commonly used approximation of removing all basis functions with exponents < 0.1 when calculating properties of periodic systems using GTOs [25–27].

The value of the Bi EFG for the different basis sets are reported in Table I relative to the Bi EFG obtained using the QZ basis set. BiCl₃ uses the isolated molecular structure (*vide infra*), whereas for BiOCl we use the experimental solid-state structure of Ref. [57]. For the molecular system, both the DZ and TZ results are very close to the QZ value, being 97.9% and 99.7% of the QZ value, respectively. For the solid, convergence becomes worse, with the DZ results clearly being of inferior quality, with only 93.8% of the QZ value, whereas TZ is performing reasonably well

Table I. Basis set convergence of Bi EFGs. Results for a basis set X reported as EFG(X)/EFG(QZ). For details, see text.

System	tDz	DZ	${ m tTz}$	TZ	tQZ	QZ
BiCl ₃	-	0.979	-	0.997	-	1
BiOCl	0.879	0.938	0.984	0.986	1.0003	1

with 98.6% of the QZ value. The Dyall basis sets are optimized for molecular calculations, and therefore a poorer basis set convergence in solids is not surprising. The truncated DZ basis set performed poorly, whereas for the TZ basis, the truncated result is only 0.2% off the full basis, and they are identical at the QZ level.

We recently demonstrated that the removal of diffuse functions is not necessary and inhibits basis-set convergence [21, 58] and prevents convergence to the basis-set limit altogether for band gaps and structures. Even though EFGs are a core-electron property, this is not the reason for the reduced basis sets not affecting the quality of the calculated results. Instead, only two diffuse basis functions are removed on Bi, whereas in our previous work, as many as 6 basis functions were removed in the case of tungsten. This highlights that truncation is highly dependent on the systems studied and the basis sets used, and should in general be applied with care and ideally not at all. In this work, all exponents of the original basis set are retained.

For BiOCl, we have also performed test calculations with the BLYP functional [59–61]. The results are in good agreement with those obtained using the PBE functional, being less than 3% larger than the PBE results both at the nonrelativistic and relativistic levels of theory for the EFG at the Bi nucleus using the TZ basis set.

Considering the possible uncertainty in the NQM of the 209 Bi nucleus, we have included a few arsenic and antimony halides and oxyhalides for which there exist experimental data, in order to benchmark our results against reliable experimental data. This also allows us to explore the relative importance of relativistic corrections as we go down in the periodic table. All our results are collected in Table II. We report, in addition to the calculated EFG value and the corresponding value for the asymmetry parameter η , also the derived value for the NQM using the experimental resonance frequencies. The reference values for the 75 As, 121 Sb, 123 Sb and 209 Bi NQMs are -311, -543, -692 and -420 mbarn, respectively [62–64], and we also report the ratio between the calculated and reference values for the NQM.

For all As and Sb compounds, we observe good agreement with experimental data. The differences are between 14 and 20% for the NQMs, which is in agreement with the error for DFT calculations on EFGs in the literature [12]. The error also includes temperature and other effects. For β -¹²¹SbBr₃, experimental data is only available at 300 K instead of at 77 K. If we assume a similar temperature behavior as α -¹²¹SbBr₃, the ratio between theoretical and experimental NQMs should increase to about 1.15. The agreement for η is generally good, although this quantity potentially benefits more from error cancellation. The only exception is α -¹²¹SbBr₃, with a measured value of 0.08 compared to the calculated value of 0.155.

Turning now to the bismuth compounds, the results for BiCl₃ are in good agreement with experiment. An overshoot of 17% is within expectations and η differs by only 0.02. For BiBr₃, neither the calculated EFG nor the asymmetry parameter are in agreement with experiment. However, if we used a different assignment of the experimental data, as discussed below, the differences disappear and the agreement between theory and experiment is comparable to that of BiCl₃. Both BiCl₃ and BiBr₃, assuming the different frequency assignment, support a value of -420 mb [54, 64] for the NQM of 209 Bi.

The results for the bismuth oxyhalides are worse. The calculated EFGs decrease for the heavier halides, following the same trend as observed for the other systems. However, when combined with the experimental data, the resulting NQMs for ²⁰⁹Bi are erratic. BiOF yields an expected error of 10%, but the other three systems are much worse, without any discernible trend. Cl and Br have an overshoot of almost 50%, while I is only off by 36%. These are beyond expected variation both in relative accuracy as well as variation between the different oxyhalides, and we are currently unable to resolve these discrepancies. Whereas BiOF also supports a value of -420 mb for the NQM, it's reliability is questionable given the differences observed for the other three BiOXs. We note previously calculated EFGs of BiOX by Hamaed *et al.* [78]. Similarly, they also report no systematic behavior, when comparing calculated EFGs with measured frequencies. However their calculated values also differ significantly and unsystematically from the ones reported here. This is likely caused by their use of pseudopotentials, which furthermore makes a direct comparison difficult.

As seen from Table II, the agreement between the calculated and experimental values for η is good for all compounds, except for BiBr₃, which shows a large disagreement. This general agreement may be due to error cancellation considering that η involves a ratio between different EFG components. Furthermore, across all other compounds the

Table II. Calculated EFGs [10^{21} V/ m^2], asymmetry parameters η and resulting 75 As, 121 Sb, 123 Sb and 209 Bi quadrupole moments Q [mb] for various solid-state compounds.

Compound		Non-relativistic			Relativistic				Exp.	Ref.	
	geom ^a	EFG	η	Q	$\rm Q_{calc}/\rm Q_{ref}$	EFG	η	Q	$Q_{\rm calc}/Q_{\rm ref}{}^{\rm b}$	η_{exp}	
AsCl ₃	[65]	-19.11	0.0	-341.7	1.10	-18.47	0.0	-353.5	1.14	0^{c}	[66]
AsBr_3	[67]	-14.47	0.076	-349.7	1.12	-13.65	0.082	-370.7	1.19	0^{a}	[66]
$^{121}\mathrm{SbCl}_3$	[68]	-26.87	0.151	-590.6	1.09	-25.60	0.170	-619.9	1.14	0.184	[69]
α - 121 SbBr ₃	[70]	-22.63	0.114	-604.9	1.08	-20.76	0.155	-659.3	1.21	0.080	[71]
β - 121 SbBr $_3$ ^d	[72]	-23.31	0.178	-560.7	1.03	-21.50	0.202	-607.9	1.12	0.180	[71]
$^{123}\mathrm{Sb_4O_5Cl_2}$	[73]	-32.81	0.261	-805.0	1.16	-33.37	0.302	-791.5	1.14	0.307	[57]
		-34.77	0.380	-805.0	1.16	-35.40	0.411	-791.4	1.14	0.396	[57]
BiCl_3	[74]	-31.95	0.362	-421.3	1.00	-27.36	0.565	-492.1	1.17	0.583	[75]
${ m BiBr_3}$	[76]	-26.90	0.446	-523.5	1.25	-21.81	0.850	-645.8	1.54	0.553	[77]
${ m BiBr_3}^{ m e}$	[76]			-387.1	0.92			-477.6	1.14	0.840	
BiOF	[57]	-29.90	0.000	-410.1	0.98	-26.70	0.000	-459.3	1.09	0	[57]
BiOCl	[57]	-15.39	0.000	-424.8	1.01	-10.62	0.001	-615.8	1.47	0	[57]
${ m BiOBr}$	[57]	-12.56	0.001	-410.5	0.98	-8.22	0.002	-627.1	1.49	0	[57]
BiOI	[57]	-10.69	0.001	-372.5	0.89	-7.00	0.002	-569.4	1.36	0	[57]

^a Experimental crystal structures.

EFG decreases for heavier halides. In contrast, the experimental data for BiBr₃ suggest a small increase in the EFG compared to BiCl₃.

To investigate this conundrum, we have reoptimized the values for the nuclear quadrupole coupling constant and η from the experimentally measured frequencies. For most systems, we obtain a better agreement with the measured frequencies with a pure quadrupole spectrum from this refitting process. While the actual values of the EFGs do not change significantly, the refitting leads to a reduction in the experimental uncertainties. For example, for BiCl₃, the agreement is below 0.1%. The only major change is for ¹²¹Sb in the α -structure, where the value changes from 343.95 MHz to 331.41 MHz, which appears to be due to a mistake in one of the tables of Ref. [71].

The agreement is also excellent for BiBr₃, however, only three out of the four frequencies were observed. Therefore the frequency assignment is ambiguous, and different choices are possible. We find a different assignment for $\eta=0.840$. This value of η agrees with our calculated value for η , gives a good agreement for the NQM of ²⁰⁹Bi with the same overshoot as seen for the arsenic and antimony compounds, and follows the trend of lowering the EFG for heavier halides. However, this fit has a significantly higher χ^2 value of $4 \cdot 10^{-1}$ compared to the experimental fit of $6 \cdot 10^{-4}$. For the other compounds, we obtain χ^2 values ranging from $2 \cdot 10^{-2}$ to $4 \cdot 10^{-6}$, making this fit an outlier. We are at this point unable to provide an decisive conclusion on the origin of this discrepancy.

To estimate a value for the NQM of 209 Bi based on the BiCl₃ data, we apply a scaling factor to the calculated value. The scaling factor is taken as the the average ratios of calculated and experimental NQMs for AsCl₃, AsBr₃, 121 SbCl₃, and the two sites in 123 Sb₄O₅Cl₂, as the temperature is the same for all these studies, and good agreement between calculated and measured η is observed. We then obtain a NQM of -428(17) mbarn, with the number in parenthesis representing the standard deviation, heavily influenced by the result for AsBr₃.

We have also implemented the calculation of EFGs in the molecular ReSpect program. As we have a uniform level of theory for molecules and solids, and many of the pnicogen halides are molecular solids, we have also explored the effects of crystal packing as well as the geometry effects arising from differences in bond lengths and bond angles going from the gas-phase structure to the structure observed in the solid state. We have thus performed calculations on individual molecules by taking the positions of one pnicogen and its three nearest bonding halides from the respective

^b Reference values for the ⁷⁵As, ¹²¹Sb, ¹²³Sb and ²⁰⁹Bi NQMs are -311, -543, -692 and -420 mb, respectively.

^c Assumed to be zero.

^d Exp. data only available at 304K

^e Refitted experimental data, see text.

Table III. Results for EFGs $[10^{21} \text{ V/m}^2]$ and asymmetry parameters η in gas phase, for isolated molecular structures using solid-state geometries ('molecule'), as well as for solids. For details, see text.

Compound		EFG			η	
	gas	'molecule'	solid	gas	'molecule'	solid
AsCl ₃	-16.95	-19.22	-18.47	0	0.012	0.027
AsBr_3	-13.86	-14.79	-13.65	0	0.048	0.082
$SbCl_3$	-25.15	-28.71	-25.60	0	0.090	0.170
α -SbBr ₃	-21.36	-24.99	-20.76	0	0.100	0.155
β -SbBr ₃	a	-24.61	-21.50		0.110	0.202
$BiCl_3$	-30.95	-39.77	-27.36	0	0.229	0.565
${ m BiBr_3}$	-25.55	-32.67	-21.81	0	0.171	0.850

^a Only one configuration in gas phase.

solid-state structures to study solid-state effects, as well as optimized the molecular structure in the gas phase to explore the relaxation effects. All computational details are the same, including XC functional and basis sets. The calculated EFGs and asymmetry parameters are collected in Table III.

There are significant effects both from changing the bond length going from gas phase to the isolated molecule retaining the solid-state structure, as well as due to neighboring atoms in the solid. Only the solid state calculations give results for both EFGs and η that are in agreement with experiment, illustrating the need to use both a proper geometry as well as include the solid-state effects. The increasing difference in EFGs from isolated solid-state structure molecules to the solid phase for the heavier compounds is not directly caused by relativity. This is rather due to the closer crystal packing, leading to larger crystal-packing effects. Both the pnicogen-pnicogen distances as well as the distance to the nearest non-bonding halides are for these heavier congeners smaller. η in the gas phase is zero due to the trigonal pyramidal geometry, [79] whereas the value of η for isolated solid-state structures and the solids show that it depends strongly on the environment and is not only a results of the distorted trigonal pyramid in solids.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have presented the theory for calculating EFGs in solids within the all-electron, four-component framework using GTOs as implemented in the RESPECT program. For As and Sb, these values are well established and we can use them to determine the accuracy of EFGs calculated using our approach, and in particular the inherent error of the DFT approximation applied in this work. We have obtained good agreement for various systems, with errors in the range of 14-20%, in line with other findings in the literature.

We have demonstrated that our solid-state approach for calculating electric field gradients is reliable enough to determine the nuclear quadrupole moment from observed nuclear quadrupole coupling constants. For Q(Bi), our current, independent, result is -428(17) mb. It is comparable to the published 'World average' of -420(17) mb of Barzakh et al. [64] or the 'molecular' values of Teodoro and Haiduke (-420(8) mb) [52] or Dognon and Pyykkö (-422(3) mb) [54] and others, as well as with recent nuclear calculations by Karayonchev et al. (-428 mb) [56]. Note that the old atomic value of Bieron and Pyykkö (-516(15) mb) [53] suffered from a too limited electron correlation space. Further values and a new World average Q(Bi) should be proposed later. We see the main merit of the present work, apart from the methodology, in providing a Q(Bi) based on totally independent q calculations and totally independent measurements of the Nuclear Quadrupole Coupling Constant (NQCC) in solids. Although the error limit is slightly higher, the obtained Q agrees with the quoted recent atomic, molecular, and nuclear theory values.

However, the nuclear quadrupole moments derived from bismuth oxyhalides behave erratically, even though the calculated EFGs appear reasonable. Although the results for BiOF also supports the molecular value for the NQM of $^{209}\mathrm{Bi}$, its reliability is in question until the disagreements for the other oxyhalides are resolved. We recommed that new experimental studies are performed for bismuth oxyhalides.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence scheme, project number 262695, its FRIPRO grant nr. 315822, and the Mobility Grant scheme (project no. 301864). For the computational and data storage resources, we acknowledge the support of Sigma2—the National Infrastructure for High Performance Computing and Data Storage in Norway, Grant Nos. NN4654K and NN14654K. In addition, MR acknowledges funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 945478 (SASPRO2), Slovak Research and Development Agency (Grant No. APVV-21-0497), and VEGA (Grant No. 1/0670/24). PP is Professor Emeritus at University of Helsinki.

- [1] J. A. S. Smith, Nuclear quadrupole resonance spectroscopy. General principles, J. Chem. Educ. 48, 39 (1971).
- C. Puzzarini, J. F. Stanton, and J. Gauss, Quantum-chemical calculation of spectroscopic parameters for rotational spectroscopy, Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 29, 273 (2010).
- [3] C. Puzzarini, Rotational spectroscopy meets theory, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 15, 6595 (2013).
- [4] P. Pyykkö, Year-2017 nuclear quadrupole moments, Mol. Phys. 116, 1328 (2018).
- [5] E. van Lenthe and E. J. Baerends, Density functional calculations of nuclear quadrupole coupling constants in the zero-order regular approximation for relativistic effects, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 8279 (2000).
- [6] N. C. Handy and H. F. Schaefer III, On the evaluation of analytic energy derivatives for correlated wave functions, J.Chem.Phys. 81, 5031 (1984).
- [7] T. Helgaker and P. Jørgensen, Configuration-interaction energy derivatives in a fully variational formulation, Theor. Chim. Acta 75, 111 (1979).
- [8] L. Visscher, T. Enevoldsen, T. Saue, and J. Oddershede, Molecular relativistic calculations of the electric field gradients at the nuclei in the hydrogen halides, J. Chem. Phys. 109, 9677 (1998).
- [9] W. De Jong, L. Visscher, and W. Nieuwpoort, On the bonding and the electric field gradient of the uranyl ion, J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 458, 41 (1998).
- [10] J. Autschbach, D. Peng, and M. Reiher, Two-Component Relativistic Calculations of Electric-Field Gradients Using Exact Decoupling Methods: Spin-orbit and Picture-Change Effects, J.Chem.Theory Comput. 8, 4239 (2012).
- [11] M. Pernpointner, M. Seth, and P. Schwerdtfeger, A point-charge model for the nuclear quadrupole moment: Coupled-cluster, Dirac-Fock, Douglas-Kroll, and nonrelativistic Hartree-Fock calculations for the Cu and F electric field gradients in CuF, J.Chem.Phys. 108, 6722 (1998).
- [12] H. M. Petrilli, P. E. Blöchl, P. Blaha, and K. Schwarz, Electric-field-gradient calculations using the projector augmented wave method, Phys. Rev. B 57, 14690 (1998).
- [13] M. Profeta, F. Mauri, and C. J. Pickard, Accurate first principles prediction of ¹⁷O NMR parameters in SiO₂: assignment of the zeolite ferrierite spectrum, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **125**, 541 (2003).
- [14] J. D. Hartman, A. Mathews, and J. K. Harper, Fast and accurate electric field gradient calculations in molecular solids with density functional theory, Front. Chem. 9, 751711 (2021).
- [15] P. Jeglič, J.-W. Bos, A. Zorko, M. Brunelli, K. Koch, H. Rosner, S. Margadonna, and D. Arčon, Influence of the Nd 4 f states on the magnetic behavior and the electric field gradient of the oxypnictides superconductors NdFeAsO_{1-x}F_x, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 094515 (2009).
- [16] K. Koch, R. Kuzian, K. Koepernik, I. Kondakova, and H. Rosner, Analysis of the electric field gradient in the perovskites SrTiO₃ and BaTiO₃: Density functional and model calculations, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 125113 (2009).
- [17] K. Koch, Crystal structure, electron density and chemical bonding in inorganic compounds studied by the electric field gradient, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Dresden (2009).
- [18] J. Autschbach, S. Zheng, and R. W. Schurko, Analysis of electric field gradient tensors at quadrupolar nuclei in common structural motifs, Concepts Magn. Reson. A 36, 84 (2010).
- [19] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, and P. Herzig, First-principles calculation of the electric field gradient of Li₃N, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1192 (1985).
- [20] M. Repisky, S. Komorovsky, M. Kadek, L. Konecny, U. Ekström, E. Malkin, M. Kaupp, K. Ruud, O. L. Malkina, and V. G. Malkin, Respect: Relativistic spectroscopy DFT program package, J. Chem. Phys. 152, 184101 (2020).
- [21] M. Kadek, M. Repisky, and K. Ruud, All-electron fully relativistic Kohn-Sham theory for solids based on the Dirac-Coulomb Hamiltonian and Gaussian-type functions, Physical Review B 99, 205103 (2019).
- [22] K. Eichkorn, O. Treutler, H. Öhm, M. Häser, and R. Ahlrichs, Auxiliary basis sets to approximate Coulomb potentials, Chem.Phys.Lett. **240**, 283 (1995).
- [23] K. Eichkorn, F. Weigend, O. Treutler, and R. Ahlrichs, Auxiliary basis sets for main row atoms and transition metals and their use to approximate Coulomb potentials, Theor. Chem. Acc. 97, 119 (1997).
- [24] M. Joosten, M. Kadek, K. Ruud, and M. Repisky, Manuscript in preparation.
- [25] J. E. Peralta, J. Uddin, and G. E. Scuseria, Scalar relativistic all-electron density functional calculations on periodic systems, J. Chem. Phys. 122, 084108 (2005).

- [26] J. K. Perry, J. Tahir-Kheli, and W. A. Goddard III, Antiferromagnetic band structure of La₂ CuO₄: Becke-3-Lee-Yang-Parr calculations, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144510 (2001).
- [27] D. Usvyat, B. Civalleri, L. Maschio, R. Dovesi, C. Pisani, and M. Schütz, Approaching the theoretical limit in periodic local MP2 calculations with atomic-orbital basis sets: the case of LiH, J. Chem. Phys. 134, 214105 (2011).
- [28] C. Pisani and R. Dovesi, Exact-exchange Hartree-Fock calculations for periodic systems. I. Illustration of the method, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 17, 501 (1980).
- [29] R. Dovesi, C. Pisani, C. Roetti, and V. Saunders, Treatment of Coulomb interactions in Hartree-Fock calculations of periodic systems, Phys. Rev. B 28, 5781 (1983).
- [30] G. Lippert, J. Hutter, and M. Parrinello, A hybrid Gaussian and plane wave density functional scheme, Mol. Phys. 92, 477 (1997).
- [31] J. Boettger and S. Trickey, Inclusion of relativistic effects in Gaussian-basis density functional calculations for extended systems, J. Mol. Struct. 501, 285 (2000).
- [32] K. N. Kudin and G. E. Scuseria, Linear-scaling density-functional theory with Gaussian orbitals and periodic boundary conditions: Efficient evaluation of energy and forces via the fast multipole method, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 16440 (2000).
- [33] R. Łazarski, A. M. Burow, and M. Sierka, Density functional theory for molecular and periodic systems using density fitting and continuous fast multipole methods, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 11, 3029 (2015).
- [34] M. Becker and M. Sierka, Density functional theory for molecular and periodic systems using density fitting and continuous fast multipole method: Stress tensor, J. Comput. Chem. 40, 2563 (2019).
- [35] Q. Sun, T. C. Berkelbach, J. D. McClain, and G. K. Chan, Gaussian and plane-wave mixed density fitting for periodic systems, J. Chem. Phys. 147, 164119 (2017).
- [36] M. H. Palmer and J. A. Blair-Fish, Quadrupole Coupling Assignments in Inorganic Periodic Systems by ab initio Calculation of Electric Field Gradients, Z. Naturforsch. A 49, 137 (1994).
- [37] J. Stare, A. Gradišek, and J. Seliger, Nuclear quadrupole resonance supported by periodic quantum calculations: A sensitive tool for precise structural characterization of short hydrogen bonds, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 22, 27681 (2020).
- [38] R. Declerck, E. Pauwels, V. Van Speybroeck, and M. Waroquier, First-principles calculations of hyperfine parameters with the gaussian and augmented-plane-wave method: Application to radicals embedded in a crystalline environment, Phys. Rev. B 74, 245103 (2006).
- [39] J. Schmidt, J. Hutter, H.-W. Spiess, and D. Sebastiani, Beyond isotropic tumbling models: nuclear spin relaxation in liquids from first principles, ChemPhysChem 9, 2313 (2008).
- [40] Q. Sun, X. Zhang, S. Banerjee, P. Bao, M. Barbry, N. S. Blunt, N. A. Bogdanov, G. H. Booth, J. Chen, Z.-H. Cui, et al., Recent developments in the PySCF program package, J. Chem. Phys. 153, 024119 (2020).
- [41] J. Seliger, Nuclear quadrupole resonance: Theory, in *Encyclopedia of Spectroscopy and Spectrometry*, edited by J. Lindon, G. Tranter, and J. Holmes (Academic Press, San Diego, 2000) pp. 1672–1680.
- [42] J. Thyssen, P. Schwerdtfeger, M. Bender, W. Nazarewicz, and P. B. Semmes, Quadrupole and hexadecapole couplings for $^{127}\mathrm{I}$ in Li $^{127}\mathrm{I}$, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 022505 (2001).
- [43] Due to the use of GTOs, the charge density decays exponentially.
- [44] T. Helgaker, P. Jorgensen, and J. Olsen, Molecular Electronic-Structure Theory (Wiley, 2014).
- [45] J. M. Pérez-Jordá and W. Yang, A concise redefinition of the solid spherical harmonics and its use in fast multipole methods, J. Chem. Phys. 104, 8003 (1996).
- [46] K. N. Kudin and G. E. Scuseria, Revisiting infinite lattice sums with the periodic fast multipole method, J. Chem. Phys. 121, 2886 (2004).
- [47] DIRAC, a relativistic ab initio electronic structure program, Release DIRAC24 (2024), written by L. Visscher, H. J. Aa. Jensen, R. Bast, A. S. P. Gomes and T. Saue, with contributions from I. A. Aucar, V. Bakken, J. Brandejs, C. Chibueze, J. Creutzberg, K. G. Dyall, S. Dubillard, U. Ekström, E. Eliav, T. Enevoldsen, E. Faßhauer, T. Fleig, O. Fossgaard, L. Halbert, E. D. Hedegård, T. Helgaker, B. Helmich-Paris, J. Henriksson, M. van Horn, M. Iliaš, Ch. R. Jacob, S. Knecht, S. Komorovský, O. Kullie, J. K. Lærdahl, C. V. Larsen, Y. S. Lee, N. H. List, H. S. Nataraj, M. K. Nayak, P. Norman, A. Nyvang, G. Olejniczak, J. Olsen, J. M. H. Olsen, A. Papadopoulos, Y. C. Park, J. K. Pedersen, M. Pernpointner, J. V. Pototschnig, R. di Remigio, M. Repisky, K. Ruud, P. Sałek, B. Schimmelpfennig, B. Senjean, A. Shee, J. Sikkema, A. Sunaga, A. J. Thorvaldsen, J. Thyssen, J. van Stralen, M. L. Vidal, S. Villaume, O. Visser, T. Winther, S. Yamamoto and X. Yuan (available at https://doi.org/110.5281/zenodo.10680560, see also https://www.diracprogram.org).
- [48] R. Dovesi, F. Pascale, B. Civalleri, K. Doll, N. M. Harrison, I. Bush, P. D'Arco, Y. Noël, M. Rérat, P. Carbonniere, et al., The CRYSTAL code, 1976–2020 and beyond, a long story, J. Chem. Phys. 152, 204111 (2020).
- [49] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [50] K. G. Dyall, Relativistic and nonrelativistic finite nucleus optimized triple-zeta basis sets for the 4p, 5p and 6p elements, Theor. Chem. Acc. 108, 335 (2002).
- [51] K. G. Dyall, Relativistic quadruple-zeta and revised triple-zeta and double-zeta basis sets for the 4p, 5p, and 6p elements, Theor. Chem. Acc. 115, 441 (2006).
- [52] T. Q. Teodoro and R. L. Haiduke, Nuclear electric quadrupole moment of bismuth from the molecular method, Phys.Rev.A 88, 052504 (2013).
- [53] J. Bieroń and P. Pyykkö, Nuclear Quadrupole Moments of Bismuth, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, 133003 (2001).
- [54] J.-P. Dognon and P. Pyykkö, Determining nuclear quadrupole moments of Bi and Sb from molecular data, Phys.Chem.Phys. 25, 2758 (2023).

- [55] E. Eliav, A. Borschevsky, A. Zaitsevskii, A. V. Oleynichenko, and U. Kaldor, Relativistic Fock-Space Coupled Cluster Method: Theory and Recent Applications, in *Comprehensive Computational Chemistry*, Vol. 3, edited by M. Yañes and R. J. Boyd (Elsevier, 2024) pp. 79–93.
- [56] V. Karayonchev, A. Blazhev, A. Esmaylzadeh, J. Jolie, M. Dannhoff, F. Diel, F. Dunkel, C. Fransen, L. M. Gerhard, R.-B. Gerst, L. Knafla, L. Kornwebel, C. Müller-Gatermann, J.-M. Régis, N. Warr, K. O. Zell, M. Stoyanova, and P. Van Isacker, Lifetimes in ²¹¹At and their implications for the nuclear structure above ²⁰⁸Pb, Phys. Rev. C 99, 024326 (2019).
- [57] T. Bastow and H. Whitfield, Nuclear quadrupole resonance of ²⁰⁹Bi in Bismuth oxyhalides, Journal of Magnetic Resonance (1969) 26, 461 (1977).
- [58] M. Kadek, B. Wang, M. Joosten, W.-C. Chiu, F. Mairesse, M. Repisky, K. Ruud, and A. Bansil, Band structures and \mathbb{Z}_2 invariants of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers from fully relativistic Dirac-Kohn-Sham theory using Gaussian-type orbitals, Phys. Rev. Mater. 7, 064001 (2023).
- [59] A. D. Becke, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior, Phys. Rev. A 38, 3098 (1988).
- [60] C. Lee, W. Yang, and R. G. Parr, Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density, Phys. Rev. B 37, 785 (1988).
- [61] B. Miehlich, A. Savin, H. Stoll, and H. Preuss, Results obtained with the correlation energy density functionals of Becke and Lee, Yang and Parr, Chem. Phys. Lett. 157, 200 (1989).
- [62] L. Demovic, V. Kellö, and A. Sadlej, The quadrupole moment of the As nucleus from molecular microwave data and calculated relativistic electric field gradients, Chem.Phys.Lett. 498, 10 (2010).
- [63] R. L. Haiduke, A. B. da Silva, and L. Visscher, The nuclear electric quadrupole moment of antimony from the molecular method, J.Chem.Phys. 125, 064301 (2006).
- [64] A. Barzakh, A. N. Andreyev, C. Raison, J. G. Cubiss, P. Van Duppen, S. Péru, S. Hilaire, S. Goriely, B. Andel, S. Antalic, M. Al Monthery, J. C. Berengut, J. Bieroń, M. L. Bissell, A. Borschevsky, K. Chrysalidis, T. E. Cocolios, T. Day Goodacre, J.-P. Dognon, M. Elantkowska, E. Eliav, G. J. Farooq-Smith, D. V. Fedorov, V. N. Fedosseev, L. P. Gaffney, R. F. Garcia Ruiz, M. Godefroid, C. Granados, R. D. Harding, R. Heinke, M. Huyse, J. Karls, P. Larmonier, J. G. Li, K. M. Lynch, D. E. Maison, B. A. Marsh, P. Molkanov, P. Mosat, A. V. Oleynichenko, V. Panteleev, P. Pyykkö, M. L. Reitsma, K. Rezynkina, R. E. Rossel, S. Rothe, J. Ruczkowski, S. Schiffmann, C. Seiffert, M. D. Seliverstov, S. Sels, L. V. Skripnikov, M. Stryjczyk, D. Studer, M. Verlinde, S. Wilman, and A. V. Zaitsevskii, Large Shape Staggering in Neutron-Deficient Bi Isotopes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 192501 (2021).
- [65] J. Galy, R. Enjalbert, P. Lecante, and A. Burian, AsCl₃: From the crystalline to the liquid state. XRD (176< T (K)</p>
 250) and WAXS (295 k) studies, Inorg. Chem. 41, 693 (2002).
- [66] R. Barnes and P. Bray, Nuclear Quadrupole Resonances of As⁷⁵, J. Chem. Phys. **23**, 407 (1955).
- [67] A. Singh and S. Swaminathan, Refinement of the crystal structure of arsenic tribromide, Z. Kryst. 124, 375 (1967).
- [68] A. Lipka, An X-ray structure redetermination of antimony trichloride, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 35, 3020 (1979).
- [69] R. Barnes and P. Bray, Nuclear Quadrupole Resonances of Sb¹²¹ and Sb¹²³, J. Chem. Phys. 23, 1177 (1955).
- [70] D. W. Cushen and R. Hulme, 798. the crystal and molecular structure of antimony tribromide: α -antimony tribromide, J. Chem. Soc., 4162 (1964).
- [71] S. Ogawa, Nuclear quadrupole resonances in arsenic and antimony trihalides and sulphur molecular addition compounds, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 13, 618 (1958).
- [72] D. W. Cushen and R. Hulme, 427. the crystal and molecular structure of antimony tribromide: β -antimony tribromide, J. Chem. Soc. , 2218 (1962).
- [73] S. Paul, B. Sen, N. Chakraborty, S. Das, S. Mondal, A. P. Chattopadhyay, and S. I. Ali, pH-regulated hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of Sb₄O₅X₂ (X= Br/Cl) and its use for the dye degradation of methyl orange both with and without light illumination, RSC Adv 12, 8374 (2022).
- [74] H. Bartl, Meßzeitersparnis durch Profilauswertung bei der Registrierung von Neutroneneinkristallreflexen. Die Kristallstruktur von Wismuttrichlorid, BiCl₃, Fresenius J Anal Chem **312**, 17 (1982).
- [75] H. G. Robinson, Quadrupole Spectrum of BiCl₃, Physical Review 100, 1731 (1955).
- [76] H. v. Benda, Zur Polymorphie des Wismuttribromids, Z. Kryst. 151, 271 (1980).
- [77] E. D. Swiger, P. J. Green, G. L. McKown, and J. D. Graybeal, Nuclear Quadrupole Resonance of Bi²⁰⁹ in BiBr₃, J. Phys. Chem. **69**, 949 (1965).
- [78] H. Hamaed, M. W. Laschuk, V. V. Terskikh, and R. W. Schurko, Application of solid-state ²⁰⁹Bi nmr to the structural characterization of bismuth-containing materials, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **131**, 8271 (2009) .
- [79] P. Pyykkö and F. Elmi, Deuteron quadrupole coupling in benzene: librational corrections using a temperature-dependent Einstein model, and summary. The symmetries of electric field gradients and conditions for $\eta = 1$, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10, 3867 (2008).